



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/797,361

03/09/2004

Niro Nakamichi

09650/0200850-US0

4351

7278 7590 03/26/2008

DARBY & DARBY P.C.

P.O. BOX 770

Church Street Station

New York, NY 10008-0770

EXAMINER

OLANIRAN, FATIMAT O

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2615

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/26/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/797,361	Applicant(s) NAKAMICHI ET AL.	
	Examiner FATIMAT O. OLANIRAN	Art Unit 2615	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 December 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 09 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 12/10/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding claim 1, applicant argues that in regards to Yoshida (5854847), "A description as to the orientation of the speakers in the automobile is absent in the description". Examiner respectfully disagrees, the drawings in an application are part of the disclosure, and applicant did not address the rejection of claim 1 by Fig. 2 of Yoshida (5854847). Applicant must clearly state how the speaker description disclosed in Fig. 2 does not read on applicant's limitation regarding the vertical and horizontal axes and speaker orientation. With regards to Carlsson (4006311), applicant argues, "Carlsson discloses a stereo loudspeaker system intended for home use in a large room having at least one substantially vertical wall". Examiner respectfully disagrees; applicant has not addressed the correct limitation used for the rejection of claim 1. Carlsson discloses an incline of the vertical axis (Fig. 2, Fig. 6). Carlsson directs sound by inclining the speakers (col. 5 line 1-7). Carlsson's inclined speakers are in a room; this however does not prevent the placement of an inclined speaker in another space.

Regarding claim 6, applicant argues, "Thus, the signal from the center speaker of Ariga is provided to mask engine noise and other noise associated with normal operation of an automobile. The signal from the center speaker of Ariga is not a signal having a reverse phase of the left and right channels signals which is used to cancel out some of

the signal to one ear of a person in an automobile as is disclosed in our application”.

Ariga (4408095) reads, on applicant’s claim 6 as originally claimed. Applicant argues that the purpose of the reverse phase signals in applicant’s application is different from that in Ariga (4408095). However, examiner reminds applicant that the claims are read in light of the specification and that limitations in the specifications are not read into the claims.

Regarding claim 7, applicant argues, “Yoshida does not disclose speakers having a particular vertical axis or a particular horizontal axis. All Yoshida teaches, in Fig. 2, are three speakers mounted in the dash of an automobile. Yoshida provides no description about the orientation of the speakers in the automobile.” Examiner respectfully disagrees; Fig. 2 as disclosed by Yoshida (5854847) is the description of the orientation of the speakers in the automobile. Also one of ordinary skill in the art would know that vertical and horizontal axes are inherent to a space.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshida et al (5854847) in view of Thigpen (20040109575).

Art Unit: 2615

Claim 1 Yoshida discloses, a speaker apparatus for mounting in an automobile (col. 2 line 49), comprising, an L channel speaker unit placed forward of a driver seat and a passenger seat of the automobile (col. 2 line 50-51) having:

a horizontal vibration axis is in a direction pivoted counterclockwise from a forward direction of motion of said automobile (Fig. 2 Examiner's horizontal axis is across the vehicle from side-to-side), and

an R channel speaker unit placed forward of the driver seat and the passenger seat of the automobile having (col. 2 line 50-51);

a horizontal vibration axis in a direction pivoted clockwise from the forward direction of motion of said automobile (Fig. 2, Examiner's horizontal axis is across the vehicle from side-to-side) .

Yoshida does not disclose a vertical vibration axis at an incline of a prescribed angle in the direction of motion of said automobile to intersect with and direct sound from a front glass of said automobile.

Thigpen discloses disclose a vertical vibration axis at an incline of a prescribed angle in the direction of motion of said automobile to intersect with and direct sound from a front glass of said automobile (Fig. 1, Fig. 2, Fig 9 and paragraph 26, line 1-6, Examiner's vertical axis is the axis that intersects the windshield). Therefore it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the left and right speakers of Yoshida with the directionality of Thigpen in order to improve stereo imaging for each passenger as taught by Thigpen (paragraph 26, line 12-14).

Art Unit: 2615

4. Claims 2-3 and 5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshida et al (5854847) in view of Thigpen (20040109575) in further view of Yajima et al (6519344).

Claim 2 analyzed with respect to claim 1, Yoshida discloses a center speaker unit disposed with said L channel speaker and R channel speaker (col. 2 line 54-55).

Yoshida in view of Thigpen do not disclose and which outputs a -L - R signal in which a -L signal, which is a reverse phase signal of, said L channel signal is added to a -R signal which is a reverse phase signal of said R channel signal.

Yajima discloses and which outputs a -L - R signal in which a -L signal which is a reverse phase signal of said L channel signal is added to a -R signal which is a reverse phase signal of said R channel signal (Figure 1, col. 7 line 34-56).

Therefore it would be obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the speaker system of Yoshida in view of Thigpen with the audio processing of Yajima in order to provide an improved sound effect as taught by Yajima (col.1 line 8-9).

Claim 3 analyzed with respect to claim 2 and claim 1, Yoshida in view of Thigpen disclose said center speaker unit (Yoshida; col. 2 line 54-55) is placed so that a line extending from a vertical vibration axis thereof intersects with a front glass of said automobile (Thigpen; Fig 9 and paragraph 26, line 1-6).

Art Unit: 2615

Claim 5 analyzed with respect to claim 3, claim 2 and claim 1, Yoshida in view of Thigpen disclose wherein said center channel vertical vibration axis is inclined (Thigpen Fig 9 and paragraph 26, line 1-6, Examiner's vertical axis is the axis that intersects the windshield).

5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshida et al (5854847) in view of Thigpen (20040109575) in further view of Yajima et al (6519344) in further view of Goldfarb (5764777).

Claim 4 analyzed with respect to claim 2 and claim 1, Yoshida in view of Thigpen and Yajima does not disclose a subwoofer disposed separate from said center speaker unit, said L channel speaker and said R channel speaker, which outputs a L+R signal.

Goldfarb discloses a subwoofer disposed separate from said center speaker unit (col. 9 line 67, col. 10 line 1-2), said L channel speaker and said R channel speaker, which outputs a L+R signal (col. 10 line 7-13). Therefore it would be obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the speaker system of Yoshida in view of Thigpen and Yajima with the subwoofer of Goldberg in order to have an amplifier dedicated to the output of bass audio frequencies.

Art Unit: 2615

6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshida et al (5854847) in view of Yajima et al (6519344)

Claim 6 Yoshida discloses an L channel speaker unit which produces an L channel signal (Fig. 1, col. 2 line 53-54) an R channel speaker unit which produces an R channel signal (Fig. 1, col. 2 line 53-54); a center speaker unit (Fig. 1, col. 2 line 54-55), which is placed between said L channel speaker unit and said R channel speaker unit (Fig. 2) Yoshida does not disclose and which produces a -L channel signal and -R channel signal, wherein the -L channel signal partially cancels the sound to the right ear of a listener on the left side of the speaker apparatus, and wherein the -R channel signal partially cancels the sound to the left ear of a listener on the right side of the speaker apparatus.

Yajima discloses and which produces a -L channel signal and -R channel signal, wherein the -L channel signal partially cancels the sound to the right ear of a listener on the left side of the speaker apparatus, and wherein the -R channel signal partially cancels the sound to the left ear of a listener on the right side of the speaker apparatus. (Figure 1, col. 7 line 34-56 and col. 7 line 57-65).

Therefore it would be obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the speaker system of Yoshida with the audio processing of Yajima in order to provide an improved sound effect as taught by Yajima (col.1 line 8-9).

7. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshida et al (5854847) in view of Yajima et al (6519344) in further view of Thigpen (20040109575).

Claim 7 analyzed with respect to claim 6, Yoshida in view of Yajima disclose a horizontal vibration axis of said L channel speaker unit is pivoted counterclockwise from a forward direction of motion of said automobile (Yoshida; Fig. 2 Examiner's horizontal axis is across the vehicle from side-to-side)

a horizontal vibration axis of said R channel speaker unit is pivoted clockwise from the forward direction of motion of said automobile (Yoshida; Fig. 2 Examiner's horizontal axis is across the vehicle from side-to-side);

and wherein said L channel speaker unit, said R channel speaker unit, and said center speaker unit are placed forward of a driver seat and a passenger seat of an automobile (Fig. 2).

Yoshida in view of Yajima do not disclose the a vertical vibration axis of said L channel speaker unit in the direction of motion of said automobile and vertical vibration axis of said R channel speaker unit in the direction of motion of said automobile.

Thigpen discloses the a vertical vibration axis of said L channel speaker unit in the direction of motion of said automobile and vertical vibration axis of said R channel speaker unit in the direction of motion of said automobile (Fig 9 and paragraph 26, line 1-6).

Therefore it would be obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the speaker in a car of Yoshida in view of Yajima with the incline of

Thigpen in order in order to improve stereo imaging for each passenger as taught by Thigpen (paragraph 26, line 12-14).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FATIMAT O. OLANIRAN whose telephone number is (571)270-3437. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F off 9:30-6 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on 571-272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,361
Art Unit: 2615

Page 10

/Vivian Chin/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2615